UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/671,179 | 09/25/2003 | Massl E. Kiani | MASIMO.376A | 7210 |
| 20995 KNOBBE MA | 7590 03/13/200 RTENS OLSON & BE | EXAMINER | | |
| 2040 MAIN ST | REET | BERHANU, ETSUB D | | |
| FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3768 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/13/2007 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|------------------|--------------|
| 10/671,179 | KIANI ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| Etsub D. Berhanu | 3768 |

| | Etsub D. Berhanu | 3768 | |
|--|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 27 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply missing the same of the contract of the same of | Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7) | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of the appeal. Since |
| 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re | TE below); | • |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.14. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | . * | |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 18. Claim(s) objected to: 8-10. Claim(s) rejected: 2-7,11-17,19 and 20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | will not be entered, or b) □ wi | - | _ |
| B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | t before or on the date of filing a North and the affidate of | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is | ot be entered s necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ls to provide a 1). |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | • | n condition for allowar | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | S ERICE I | VINAKUR EXAMINER |
| | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Per Applicant's proposed amendment, claims 2 and 3 have been amended to disclose a method and apparatus for determining "blood constituent" values, and not a biological property value. This limitation was not previously set forth or considered, and as such raises new issues that would require further consideration and search. Per Applicant's proposed amendment, claims 4 and 17 have been amended to include the phrases "configured to measure a first physiological property" and "configured to measure a second physiological property". These limitations were not previously set forth or considered, and as such raise new issues that would require further consideration and search. In response to Applicant's argument that a measure of oxygen partial pressure is not a measure of a blood constituent, Examiner notes than in order to determine an oxygen partial pressure, a measure of oxygen in the blood must be performed. As oxygen is a constituent in the blood, a measure of oxygen partial pressure is therefore a measure of a blood constituent. In response to Applicant's argument that Takeuchi does not disclose a device configured to measure oxygen partial pressure, Examiner draws the Applicant's attention to the first line of the ABSTRACT of Takeuchi, and also to Figure 1, which discloses the device used to measure oxygen partial pressure..